UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/574,860 | 04/06/2006 | Shigeto Kawai | 053466-0414 | 8677 |
| | 7590 03/08/201 ARDNER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 500 | | GUSSOW, ANNE | | |
| 3000 K STREE WASHINGTO | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1643 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/08/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/574,860 | KAWAI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Anne M. Gussow | 1643 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav ral (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extraction extractional extraction | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ver form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej | TE below); ducing or simplifying t | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.174. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Consection See Continuation Sheet. Sowable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) with the second se | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 24,25 and 28. Claim(s) rejected: 15-19,21,22,26 and 27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7 and 23. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| /Larry R. Helms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1643 | /Anne M. Gussow/ Examiner, Art Unit 1643 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 26 as amended reads on a method of using an antibody that comprises as few as two CDR regions. This claim would require further consideration and raise new grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.